### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P71599PC00	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below						
International application No. PCT/EP2004/010199	International filing date (day/month/year) 13 September 2004 (13.09.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30 September 2003 (30.09.2003)						
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237								
Applicant FOTONATION VISION LIMITED								

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44 <i>bis</i> .3(c) and 93 <i>bis</i> .1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .2).						

Date of issuance of this report 03 April 2006 (03.04.2006)

Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 80

Yolaine Cussac

Authorized officer

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 09 DEC 2004 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43*bis*.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/010199 13.09.2004 30.09.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04N1/409, H04N5/217 Applicant FOTONATION VISION LIMITED 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Hardell, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4017



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/010199

	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	. With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the followin language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:						
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:						
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	h. Ci	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.					
4	Additional comments:						

_	Вох	No. II	Priority				
1.	☐ The following document has not been furnished:						
		$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier a	pplication	n whose prio	rity has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).	
			translation of the ea	rlier appl	ication whos	e priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
						er the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has n that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.	
2.	☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3.	☐ It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.						
4.	Additional observations, if necessary:						
		No. V ustrial a				is.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or supporting such statement	
1.	Stat	tement			-		
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-130	
	Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	67,83-120	
				No:	Claims	1-66,68-82,121-130	
	Indu	ustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-130	
2.	Cita	itions ar	nd explanations				

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: US 2002/093577 A1 (ICHIKAWA CHIAKI ET AL) 18 July 2002 (2002-07-18)
  - D2: US-A-6 035 072 (READ ROBERT LEE) 7 March 2000 (2000-03-07)
  - D3: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2000, no. 05, 14 September 2000 (2000-09-14) & JP 2000 050062 A (MINOLTA CO LTD), 18 February 2000 (2000-02-18) & US-B1-6 792 161, 14 September 2004 (2004-09-14)
- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 4, 18-20 and 82 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- a method of automatically correcting dust artifact regions within images acquired by a digital acquisition device including an optical system (par. 2,6), comprising:
- digitally-acquiring one or more original images with said digital acquisition device (par.30-32; fig.3 steps 32,33);
- determining probabilities that certain pixels correspond to dust artifact regions within said one or more digitally-acquired images (par.33; fig.3 step 34);
- associating the dust artifact regions with one or more extracted parameters relating to the optical system when the one or more images were acquired (par.33,; fig.3 step 35);
- forming a statistical dust map including mapped dust regions based on the dust artifact probability determining and associating (par.35-36; fig.4);
- correcting pixels corresponding to dust artifact regions ... based on the associated statistical dust map.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that the corrected pixels are pixels "within each of said one or more original images", whereas in D1 the corrected pixels are pixels of different images (fig.3 steps 38-42).

However, no technical effect is achieved and no problem is solved by this minor

modification. In both cases (D1 and present application) the dust map enables the dust correction of images taken with a particular setting of the optical system of the camera. It is therefore a pure matter of choice without the exercise of inventive skill to either use the dust map for the correction of a different image or the same image.

Claim 1 therefore does not involve an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

- 2.2 (Claims 2, 4) Dependent claims 2 and 4 relate to the choice of optical parameters, which have been disclosed by D1 (D1: par.34).
- 2.3 (Claims 18-20) Processing the image either inside the camera or outside in an external device is a matter of choice without inventive skill.
- 2.4 (Claim 82) Dust artifact data is sorted according to particular criteria (called metadata in claim 82) in D1: fig.4.
- 3. Furthermore, dependent claims 3, 5-17, 21-66, 68-81 and 121-130 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 3.1 (Claims 5-17, 21-32, 34-39, 58-66, 121-130) Dependent claims 5-17, 21-32, 34-39, 58-66 and 121-130 relate to a particular way of determining the probability that a particular pixel is an image of a dust particle.

The problem addressed by these claims can therefore be regarded as how to decide wether a specific pixel under test represents an image of a dust particle or not. With this problem in mind the skilled person would consult document D2 which also deals with the problem of dust detection in imaging applications (D2: col.2 l.8-61).

D2 discloses in detail the subject-matter of the dependent claims mentioned above. It explicitly describes how the dust detection is performed on an arbitrary image which does not have to be a reference image (D2: col.2 l.88-11), the use of thresholds (D2: fig.6 step 640) and how the dust map is updated with further images (D2: col.4 l.37-58).

A skilled person would easily combine D1 with the dust detection algorithm of D2 in order to arrive at the subject-matter of the above-mentioned claims. Therefore, these claims do not involve an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/010199

3.2 (Claims 3, 33, 40-57, 68-81) Further dependent claims 3, 33, 40-57 and 68-81 relate to a particular appearance of dust particle images and how to calculate certain optical parameters of the system after an analysis of the dust particle image.

The problem addressed by these claims can therefore be regarded as how to relate parameters of the optical system to the appearance of the dust particle image.

A similar problem has been solved by document D3 (reference is made to the US-document claiming a single priority from the JP-document, which is in time). D3 also addresses the problem of dust in optical imaging applications. D3 discloses the detection of positions (distance along optical axis) of a dust particle in an imaging system with a known optical system depending on the appearance of the dust particle image (D3: fig.11). A skilled person would without the exercise of inventive skill apply the teaching of D3 to the present problem and derive parameters of a variable optical system when the position of the dust particle (distance along optical axis) is known, depending on the appearance of the dust particle image.

The above-mentioned claims do therefore not involve an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

4. (Claims 67, 83-120) As far as dependent claims 67 and 83-120 can be understood, they relate to a specific way of recalculating existing dust maps generated with a particular setting of the optical system to correlate with other images taken with a different setting of the optical system. The advantage appears to be that any image taken with a new setting of the optical system can be dust-corrected using the recalculated, but existing dust map.

It appears that these details have not been disclosed in any of the cited documents and the combination of features of claims 67 or 83-120 with the features of claim 1 is therefore considered novel and inventive.